Case 4:13-cr-00093-JST Document 8 Filed 05/15/13 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. CR	13 ct 03-721
Plaintiff,) v.)	STIPULATED ORD UNDER THE SPEE	ER EXCLUDING TIME DY TRIAL ACT
MATAN A WHANG		
Defendant.)		
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on Manager Speedy Trial Act from Manager 15, 2013 to Manager 2013 to Manag	ic and the defendant in a	speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §
Failure to grant a continuance would be lil See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	kely to result in a miscar	riage of justice.
The case is so unusual or so complex, due defendants, the nature of the prosec or law, that it is unreasonable to expect ad itself within the time limits established by	cution, or the exist lequate preparation for particular preparation f	tence of novel questions of fact retrial proceedings or the trial
Failure to grant a continuance would deny taking into account the exercise of due dili		
Failure to grant a continuance would unreacounsel's other scheduled case commitments. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	asonably deny the defend nts, taking into account t	dant continuity of counsel, given the exercise of due diligence.
Failure to grant a continuance would unrean necessary for effective preparation, taking See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
DATED: 5 115 12		·
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	JOSEPH C. SPERO United States Magistr Assistant United State	FILED
V 1 U	**	MAY 1 5 2013

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK U.S. INSTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA